2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 11:39:20 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

Initial Review Group

6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Current EV

Novt EV

842

Year? Charter Date Date

No 12/01/1986

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C. 282(b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

15. Description of Committee Grant Review Committee

16a. Total Number of No Reports for this

Reports FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 9 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 9 Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/17/2017	- 10/19/2017
NIH Peer Review	10/18/2017	- 10/20/2017
NIH Peer Review	10/25/2017	- 10/27/2017
NIH Peer Review	02/21/2018	- 02/22/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/07/2018	- 03/09/2018
NIH Peer Review	03/07/2018	- 03/09/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/12/2018	- 06/14/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/19/2018	- 06/21/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/20/2018	- 06/22/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 9

	Current Fi	MEXILI
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$135,600.00	\$135,600.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00

18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$514,741.00	\$524,520.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$328,497.00	\$334,081.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$111,070.00	\$113,291.00
18d. Total	\$1,089,908.00\$	31,107,492.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	3.90	3.90

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -- (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of diabetes, endocrinology, metabolic diseases, digestive diseases, nutrition, kidney, urologic and hematologic diseases. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 470 grant applications requesting \$323,830,294.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of diabetes, endocrinology, metabolic diseases, digestive diseases, nutrition, and kidney, urologic and hematologic diseases.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group held nine meetings during this reporting period.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications. These evaluations and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of expertise not available on the NIH staff or from

other established sources.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Initial Review Group were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

21. Remarks

Individual zip codes are not listed as there are too many members to facilitate compliance with requirement. The positions of Designated Federal Official and Committee Decision maker are both held by the Chief of Review based on assignment of duties in the Institute. Reports: This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. URL: This committee does not have a website.

Designated Federal Officer

JOHN CONNAUGHTON CHIEF, REVIEW BRANCH

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
ABRAHAM, CLARA	09/13/2016	06/30/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ALENGHAT, THERESA	07/01/2018	3 06/22/2022	2 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAKER, LINDA	07/01/2018	3 06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BATES, CARLTON	07/25/2017	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAUM, MICHEL	07/01/2018	3 06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BAYLIS, CHRISTINE	08/29/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BLANE, JUDITH	07/01/2018	3 06/30/2022	2 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BOEDEKER, EDGAR	09/20/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
BOONE, DAVID	09/07/2016	06/30/2018	3 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BOWLUS, CHRISTOPHER	09/11/2017	06/30/2021	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BUNGERT, JORG	08/04/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHACKO, SAMUEL	08/18/2014	06/30/2020	EMERITUS PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHAI, TOBY	08/04/2016	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR OF UROLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHERTOW, GLENN	08/02/2016	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member

CONG, YINGZI	08/20/2014	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
CONWELL, DARWIN	10/11/2015	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR AND	O CHIEF	Peer Review Consultant Member
COOPER, GREGORY	09/12/2017	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR OF	MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
CORVERA, SILVIA	10/02/2014	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
CREWS, DEIDRA	07/31/2017	06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PRO	FESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
DIANO, SABRINA	08/18/2017	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
DU, MIN	09/06/2016	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
DURU, OBIDIUGWU	08/23/2015	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
FERRY, ROBERT	09/21/2016	06/30/2018 ADJUNCT PROFE	SSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FISHER, JONATHAN	08/18/2015	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
FLORANT, GREGORY	08/20/2015	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
FLOYD, ZELPHA	09/01/2016	06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PRO	FESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FORNONI, ALESSIA	08/03/2016	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
GADEGBEKU, CRYSTAL	07/10/2013	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
GULBRANSON, BRIAN	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 ASSOCIATE PRO	FESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUPTA, SANJEEV	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
HAYES, MATTHEW	03/07/2018	03/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PRO NEUROSCIENCE	FESSOR OF NUTRITIONAL	Peer Review Consultant Member
HIMMELFARB, JONATHAN	08/03/2016	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR & D	IRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUANG, ELBERT	08/31/2016	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
INADOMI, JOHN	09/16/2015	06/30/2019 CYRUS E. RUBBII	N CHAIR AND HEAD	Peer Review Consultant Member
INGRAHAM, HOLLY	09/11/2015	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
JEGANATHAN, RAMESH	08/21/2017	06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PRO	FESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JUNCOS, LUIS	08/16/2016	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR OF	MEDICINE AND PHYSIOLOGY	Peer Review Consultant Member
KERSHAW, ERIN	08/18/2017	06/30/2019 ASSOCIATE PRO	FESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIRPICH, IRINA	09/07/2016	06/30/2020 ASSISTANT PROF	FESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIRYLUK, KRZYSZTOF	09/02/2014	06/30/2020 ASSISTANT PROF	FESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
KUGATHASAN, SUBRA	09/14/2017	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR		Peer Review Consultant Member
KUSHNER, JAKE	09/01/2016	06/30/2018 ASSOCIATE PRO	FESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LASH, JAMES	08/19/2014	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR OF	MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member

LINDBERG, NANGEL	09/08/2017 06	06/30/2021 SENIOR INVESTIGATOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LLOYD, JOYCE	07/01/2014 06	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR AND VICE CHAIR OF EDUCATION	Peer Review Consultant Member
LOOMBA, ROHIT	09/20/2016 04	04/30/2018 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
LORENZ, ROBINNA	09/08/2017 06	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LYNCH, JOHN	08/15/2014 06	06/30/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MATHEWS, CLAYTON	09/05/2014 06	06/30/2018 CONSULTANT	Peer Review Consultant Member
MUTLU, ECE	09/08/2017 06	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NILLNI, EDUARDO	08/31/2016 06	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR EMERITUS	Peer Review Consultant Member
NORTH, TRISTA	08/02/2017 06	06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OBERFIELD, SHARON	09/16/2015 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR AND DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PORTILLA, DIDIER	07/19/2017 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
QUIGG, RICHARD	07/04/2013 06	06/30/2019 ARTHUR M. MORRIS PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
RAY, PATRICIO	08/08/2016 06	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROCKEY, DON	09/08/2017 06	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR AND CHAIRMAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROMERO, MICHAEL	07/19/2017 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
Reinecker, Hans-Christian	07/01/2018 06	06/30/2022 Associate Professor	Peer Review Consultant Member
SALUSKY, ISIDRO	07/19/2017 06	06/30/2021 DISTINQUISHED PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
SANGHERA, DHARAMBIR	09/01/2016 06	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR OF PEDIATRICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
SATIN, LESLIE	09/04/2015 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHELLING, JEFFREY	08/15/2014 06	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCOTT, DONALD	08/30/2017 06	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TACHE, YVETTE	09/15/2015 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
TAYLOR, SIMEON	08/23/2017 06	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR OF MEDIDINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
THOMAS, CHRISTIE	08/11/2015 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR OF INTERNAL MEDICINE	Peer Review Consultant Member
TURNER, JERROLD	08/13/2014 06	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
URRUTIA, RAUL	09/28/2015 06	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR OF BIOPHYSICS	Peer Review Consultant Member
VELLA, ADRIAN	09/08/2014 06	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VEZINA, CHAD	08/03/2016 06	06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VIJAY-KUMAR, MATAM	09/08/2017 06	06/30/2021 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

WANG, GARY 09/13/2017 06/30/2021 PROFESSOR

WILDES, JENNIFER 09/16/2015 06/30/2019 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

WILDES, JENNIFER 09/16/2015 06/30/2019 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

ZENT, ROY 07/18/2017 06/30/2023 VICE CHAIR FOR RESEARCH

Number of Committee Members Listed: 75

Peer Review Consultant

Member

Peer Review Consultant

Member

Peer Review Consultant

Member

Narrative Description

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of -(A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts... This committee is composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications in the fields of diabetes, endocrinology, metabolic diseases, digestive diseases, nutrition, kidney, urologic and hematologic diseases.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

	Officered if Applies
Improvements to health or safety	
Trust in government	
Major policy changes	
Advance in scientific research	✓
Effective grant making	✓
Improved service delivery	
Increased customer satisfaction	
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	
Other	

Outcome Comments

NA

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Chacked if Applies

None	
Unable to Determine	✓
Under \$100,000	
\$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000	
\$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000	
Over \$10,000,000	
Cost Savings Other	

Cost Savings Comments

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

6,721

Number of Recommendations Comments

Grant Review

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be recommended for funding.

Does the agency provide the committee with ree	•
implement recommendations or advice offered?	
Yes No Not Applicable	
Agency Feedback Comments	
Minutes	
What other actions has the agency taken as a re	sult of the committee's advice or
recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	✓
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	✓

Action Comments

Other

An action of approved or recommended for grants receiving review by this council does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all of these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual grant applications.

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated Number of grants reviewed for approval	470
What is the estimated Number of grants recommended for approval	470
What is the estimated Dollar Value of grants recommended for approval	\$323,830,294

Grant Review Comments

The dollar value indicates the total amount of grants recommended for funding.

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	✓
Online Agency Web Site	✓
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	✓
Publications	
Other	

Access Comments

N/A